



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1440
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/066,039

01/31/2002

Rade Petrovic

SOL-166

3932

20028

7590

05/04/2006

Lipsitz & McAllister, LLC

755 MAIN STREET

MONROE, CT 06468

EXAMINER

LEMMA, SAMSON B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2132

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/066,039	Applicant(s) PETROVIC, RADE	
	Examiner Samson B. Lemma	Art Unit 2132	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 33-36 and 69-72 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 15, 17-21, 23-25, 37-44, 51, 53-57 and 59-61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 9-14, 16, 22, 26-32, 45-50, 52, 58 and 62-68 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in reply to an amendment filed on February 09, 2006.
Claims **11-13, 28-30, 33, 36, 47-49, 64-66, 69** and **72** are amended.
Claims 1-72 are pending. Independent Claims 36 and 72 have previously been allowed.

Response to Argument

2. Applicant's remark/arguments filed on February 09, 2006 referring to the independent **claims 1, 17, 23, 35, 37 and 59** have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. However, Applicant's remark/arguments filed on February 09, 2006 referring to the amended **independent claims 33 and 69** have been fully considered and are found to be persuasive.
Applicant's first argument is regarding the independent claims 1 and 37.
Applicant's argued that some of the limitation in the independent claim 1 and 37 is not suggested by **the reference** on the record, namely Xu.
Applicant argued that the limitation recited in the independent claims 1 and 37 such as "selecting a code to represent the data to be embedded based on an analysis of the host signal" is not disclosed by the reference on the record/Xu.
Examiner disagrees with the above argument.
In response to the above argument by the applicant, the Examiner point out the Xu discloses on column 9, lines 39-48, discloses the following. "FIG. 8 depicts the training process for an adaptive embedding model. Adaptive embedding, or content-sensitive embedding, **embeds watermarks differently for different types of audio signals.** To do so, a training process is **run for each category of audio signal to define embedding schemes that are well suited to the particular category or class of audio signal.** The training process analyses

Art Unit: 2132

an audio signal 800 to find an optimal way to classify audio frames into classes and then design embedding schemes for each of those classes.” And this meets the limitation of selecting a code to represent the data to be embedded based on an analysis of the host signal. Furthermore Xu on column 10, lines 17-21 and column 2, lines 23-33 discloses similar limitation that meets the limitation of selecting a code to represent the data to be embedded based on an analysis of the host signal. Furthermore Xu on column 7, lines 29-30 discloses the following. “Each embedding scheme is tailored to a class of the audio signal.” And this also meets the limitation of electing a code to represent the data to be embedded based on an analysis of the host signal.

Applicant’s second argument is regarding the independent claims 17 and

53. Applicant argued that some of the limitation in the independent claim 17 and 53 is not suggested by **the reference** on the record, namely Xu.

Applicant argued that the limitation recited in the independent claims 17 and 53 such as “scrambling the data to be embedded with each code from a code set to provide a plurality of scrambled data sequences” and “the limitation of comparing each of said plurality of scrambled data sequence to the host signal and selecting a scrambled sequence which is best match to said host signal for embedding into the host signal” are not disclosed by the reference on the record namely Xu.

Examiner disagrees with the above argument.

In response to the above argument by the applicant, the Examiner point out the Xu discloses the following

- **Providing a host signal**[figure 1, ref. Num “100”];
- **Providing data to be embedded in the host signal** [figure 1, ref. Num “102”];

Art Unit: 2132

- **Scrambling said data with each code from a code set to provide a plurality of scrambled data sequences;** [figure 1, ref. Num "120"] and Xu on column 7, lines 30-35 further discloses the following. "Using the selected embedding scheme, the watermark is embedded into the audio frame using a multiple-echo hopping process. This produces a particular arrangement of echoes that are to be embedded in the digital audio signal 100 **dependent upon the encrypted watermark produced by the module 120**" and this meets the limitation of comparing each scrambled data sequence to said host signal and selecting a scrambled sequence which is a best match to said host signal.

Applicant's third argument is regarding the independent claims 23 and 59.

Applicant argument is the same as that of claims 1 and 37.

Examiner disagrees with the above argument and indicate that the response given to claims 1 and 37 is also applicable to this argument.

Applicant's forth argument is regarding the amended independent claims 33 and 69.

This argument is found to be persuasive. Thus claims **33 and 69** are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 2132

4. **Claims 1-8, 15, 17-21, 23-25, 37-44, 51, 53-57 and 59-61** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by **Xu et al** (hereinafter referred as **Xu**) (U.S. Patent Number 6, 674,861B1) with European publication number: **Wo00/39955** having a (**publication date: July 6, 2000**)
5. **As per claims 1 and 37** **Xu** discloses a method for embedding watermarking information [Abstract, first two lines] (A method, an apparatus and a computer program product for adaptive, content-based watermark embedding of a digital audio signal (100) are disclosed), **comprising:**
- **Providing a host signal** [figure 1, ref. Num “100”];
 - **Providing data to be embedded in the host signal** [figure 1, ref. Num “102”];
 - **Associating distinct input data strings of said data with distinct code sets;** [Column 8, lines 1-3] (a bit in the watermark sequence/input data to be embedded in the host signal is encoded/associated as multiple echoes/code sets while each audio frame/host signal is divided into multiple sub-frames)
 - **Selecting codes from the associated code sets to represent said input data strings based on an analysis of the host signal;**[column 9, lines 39- 48; column 7, lines 29-30; column 10, lines 17-19;]
 - **Embedding said codes into the host signal to provide a watermarked signal.** [column 10, lines 19-21; column 7, lines 30-32]

Art Unit: 2132

6. **As per claims 17, 19, 53 and 55 Xu discloses a method for embedding watermarking information** [Abstract, first two lines] (In a watermarking system, an embedder embeds one of several alternative watermark patterns that represent the source message using side information to improve robustness), **comprising:**
- **Providing a host signal**[figure 1, ref. Num “100”];
 - **Providing data to be embedded in the host signal** [figure 1, ref. Num “102”];
 - **Scrambling said data with each code from a code set to provide a plurality of scrambled data sequences;** [figure 1, ref. Num “120”]
 - **Comparing each scrambled data sequence to said host signal and selecting a scrambled sequence which is a best match to said host signal;** [column 7, lines 30-35] (Xu on column 7, lines 30-35 further discloses the following. “Using the selected embedding scheme, the watermark is embedded into the audio frame using a multiple-echo hopping process. This produces a particular arrangement of echoes that are to be embedded in the digital audio signal 100 **dependent upon the encrypted watermark produced by the module 120” and this meets the limitation of** comparing each scrambled data sequence to said host signal and selecting a scrambled sequence which is a best match to said host signal.) and
 - **Embedding said best matched scrambled data sequence into the host signal to provide a watermarked signal.** [Column 10, lines 19-21; column 7, lines 30-32 and figure 1, ref. Num “110”]

Art Unit: 2132

7. **As per claims 23 and 59 Xu discloses a method for recovering embedded watermarking data from a watermarked signal,[figure 4, column 10, lines 25]**

(Figure 4, illustrates a process of watermark extraction.) **comprising the steps of**

- **Receiving said watermarked signal;** [Figure 4, ref. Num “110”]
- **Extracting embedded codes from said watermarked signal;[Column 10, lines 43-44] and interpreting said extracted codes to recover said watermarking data;[figure 4, ref. Num “440”]**
- **Wherein each code represents an input string of said watermarking data, each code being selected from a code set associated with said input data string based on an analysis of a host signal to be watermarked.**
[Column 9, lines 39- 48; column 7, lines 29-30; column 10, lines 17-19 and figure 4]

8. **As per claims 2-3, 24, 38-39 and 60 Xu discloses a method for embedding watermarking information as applied to claims above. Furthermore Xu discloses the method wherein** said associating step is based on a predefined mapping. [Figure 2, ref. Num “210”]

9. **As per claims 4 and 40 Xu discloses a method for embedding watermarking information as applied to claims above. Furthermore Xu discloses the method, further comprising: transmitting said watermarked signal to a decoder;[Figure 4, ref. Num “110”] extracting said embedded codes from said watermarked signal; and interpreting said codes to recover said data. [Figure 4]**

Art Unit: 2132

10. **As per claims 5, 25, 41 and 61** Xu discloses a method for embedding watermarking information as applied to claims above. Furthermore Xu discloses the method, wherein said interpreting step comprises a many-to-one mapping of an extracted code to the associated data string. [Column 9, lines 39- 48; column 7, lines 29-30; column 10, lines 17-19]
11. **As per claims 6-7, 21, 42-43 and 57** Xu discloses a method for embedding watermarking information as applied to claims above. Furthermore Xu discloses the method, further comprising: segmenting the data into said input strings. [Figure 1, ref. Num "140"]
12. **As per claims 8 and 44** Xu discloses a method for embedding watermarking information as applied to claims above. Furthermore Xu discloses the method, further comprising: generating for each input data string a code set containing said codes. [Column 8, lines 7-11]
13. **As per claims 15 and 51** Xu discloses a method for embedding watermarking information as applied to claims above. Furthermore Xu discloses the method wherein said input strings are mapped to codes with the objective of minimizing distortion of the host signal. [Column 5, lines 43-54]
14. **As per claim 18 and 54** Xu discloses a method for embedding watermarking information as applied to claims above. Furthermore Xu discloses the method wherein said scrambling step comprises an XOR operation between the data and each code of the code set. [figure 1, ref. Num "120" and ref. Num "160] (Encryption meets the recitation of an "XOR" operation.)

Art Unit: 2132

15. **As per claim 20 and 56** Xu discloses a method for embedding watermarking information as applied to claims above. Furthermore Xu discloses the method wherein said generating of a plurality of scrambled data sequences at the decoder comprises scrambling said data with each code from a code set to provide a plurality of scrambled data sequences at said decoder. [figure 1, ref. Num "120" and figure 4, ref. Num "108"]

Allowable Subject Matter

16. **Claims 9-14, 16, 22, 26-32, 45-50, 52, 58 and 62-68** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

17. Independent **Claims 33 and 69** are allowed. The dependent claims **34-35 and 70-71** which are dependent on the independent claim **33 and 69** respectively being further limiting to the independent claims, definite and enabled by the specification are also allowed.

18. Independent **claims 36 and 72** have previously been allowed.

Conclusion

19. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2132

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samson B Lemma whose telephone number is 571-2723806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 am---4: 30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BARRON JR GILBERTO can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAMSON LEMMA

S.L.
04/25/2006


GILBERTO BARRON JR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100